

# How Strings Give Rise to Fields Like Maxwell Fields

Robert D. Klauber Aug 22, 2023 [www.quantumfieldtheory.info](http://www.quantumfieldtheory.info)

## 1 Quantization: The Big Picture

Recall from QFT that when we quantize a classical field, the classical field is typically a displacement vector. Think of an elastic solid or a fluid continuum, where the classical field is comprised of the vector displacement in space of the continuum at every point, and that displacement is generally a function of time. We can symbolize the displacement by  $X^\mu$ , so

$$X^\mu = X^\mu(t, \mathbf{x}) = X^\mu(x^\alpha), \quad \text{a classical displacement field.} \quad (1)$$

Upon quantization, i.e., upon invoking the canonical commutation relations, the classical field becomes a quantum field, which is not a displacement typically, but an operator (that creates and destroys states). We can symbolize the quantum field by  $A^\mu$ , so

$$X^\mu = X^\mu(x^\alpha) \xrightarrow{\text{quantization}} A^\mu = A^\mu(x^\alpha), \quad \text{a quantum creation/destruction operator field.} \quad (2)$$

## 2 QFT

### 2.1 Maxwell Fields in QFT

In 4D, if  $A^\mu$  has two independent components (two transverse fields in 3D space) plus is massless, it can be a Maxwell field, i.e., a photon field. That is, for a Maxwell field, the number of independent components in 4D is  $4-2=2$ , or  $D-2$ .

We can generalize to higher dimensions  $D$ , where  $d$  is the number of spatial dimensions.

$$\text{independent components} = D-2 = d-1 \quad \text{necessary for a Maxwell field.} \quad (3)$$

Thus, it is necessary that a Maxwell field must be i) massless plus have ii)  $d-1$  independent components, but that is not enough (not sufficient). A candidate field must also satisfy Maxwell's equation.

Bottom line #1: A Maxwell field i) is massless, ii) has  $d-1$  independent components, iii) has  $D$  total components, and iv) satisfies Maxwell's equation. These are necessary and sufficient conditions for (2) to be a Maxwell field.

### 2.2 Massive Fields in QFT

In 4D, massive vector fields in QFT, such as the  $W$ s and  $Z$  of electroweak theory, have three independent components, not two. (See Klauber, *Student Friendly QFT, Vol. 2, The Standard Model*, Sect. 5.4, pgs. 154-157.) That is, massive 4D boson vector fields have  $4-1 = D-1 = d = 3$  independent components.

We can generalize to higher  $D$ , again.

$$\text{independent components} = D-1 = d \quad \text{necessary for a massive boson field.} \quad (4)$$

Bottom line #2: A massive vector field has i) mass, ii) has  $d$  independent components, iii) has  $D$  total components, and iv) satisfies the Proca equation [(5-80), pg. 157 in above reference.] These are necessary and sufficient conditions for (2) to be a massive vector field.

### 2.3 Scalar Fields in QFT

In 4D, a scalar field has a single component. It is not a vector with  $D$  components of any mix of independent and dependent components.

Generalizing to any  $D$ , we have

$$\text{independent components} = 1 \quad \text{necessary for a scalar field for any } D. \quad (5)$$

Bottom line #3: A scalar field, whether massive or massless, has i) one independent component, and ii) satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation. These are necessary and sufficient conditions for (2) to be a scalar field (for no component index  $\mu$ ) or a collection of scalar fields where each value of  $\mu$  represents a different independent scalar field (not a component of a vector field).

### 3 String Theory in Light-Cone Gauge and Maxwell Equation

#### 3.1 Classical Relativistic String Field Equation of Motion in Light-Cone Gauge

In classical relativistic string theory, we start with a one-dimensional relativistic string existing in  $D$  dimensions and having  $D = d + 1$  displacement components  $X^\mu$ , as in (1), where  $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, d$ . Upon minimizing the world sheet area (the action), we end up with the classical equation of motion for the string, which in the chosen parametrization and gauge (light-cone), has the form, in natural units, of

$$\ddot{X}^\mu - X^{\mu''} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} \right) X^\mu = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha X^\mu = 0 \quad \alpha = \tau, \sigma \quad \text{Zwiebach (9.39) [183].} \quad (6)$$

The solution of (6) in the light-cone gauge for open strings in terms of world-sheet parameters  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  is

$$X^\mu = x_0^\mu + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\alpha'} \alpha_0^\mu}_{2\alpha' p^\mu} \tau + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^\mu e^{-in\tau} \cos n\sigma \quad \text{Zwiebach (9.56) [186], } p^\mu \text{ from (9.52) [185],} \quad (7)$$

where

$$X^\mu = X^+, X^-, X^I \quad \text{all functions of } \tau \text{ and } \sigma, \text{ i.e.,} \quad X^\mu = X^\mu(\tau, \sigma). \quad (8)$$

In the light-cone gauge,  $X^+$  is determined by  $p^+$ ,  $X^-$  is determined by all of the  $X^I$  (or more commonly expressed in terms of the coefficients  $\alpha_n^I$  in the  $X^I$  solution of (7)). So, the independent parameters are  $\tau$ ,  $p^+$ , and  $\alpha_n^I$ , but since the  $\alpha_n^I$  dependence can be expressed in terms of the transverse 3-momenta  $\vec{p}_T$ , the independent parameters can be considered  $\tau$ ,  $p^+$ , and  $\vec{p}_T$ .

#### 3.2 Quantum String Field Equation of Motion

In Sect. 12.7 [268-270], Zwiebach shows the quantum string equation of motion, in the light-cone gauge, parallels the form of the Schrödinger equation. For  $N^1 = 1$  (a single string in the lowest mode), this is

$$i \frac{\partial X^J}{\partial \tau} = \alpha' p^I p^J X^J \quad I, J = \text{transverse modes} \quad (\psi \text{ in Zwiebach} = X \text{ here}). \quad \text{Zwiebach (12.190) [269]} \quad (9)$$

#### 3.3 Classical Maxwell Field Equation of Motion

The Maxwell equation, in its general form, is

$$\partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\mu - \partial^\mu (\partial_\beta A^\beta) = 0, \quad \text{Zwiebach (10.70) [206]} \quad (10)$$

which, for the Lorenz gauge condition,  $\partial_\beta A^\beta = 0$ , becomes

$$\partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha A^\mu = 0 \quad \alpha, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha, \mu = +, -, 2, \dots, d. \quad (11)$$

Fourier transforming (11), we have

$$p_\alpha p^\alpha A^\mu = p^2 A^\mu = 0 \quad \alpha, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha, \mu = +, -, 2, \dots, d. \quad \text{Zwiebach (10.82) [208]} \quad (12)$$

#### 3.4 Quantum Maxwell Field Equation

In Sect. 12.7, the quantum Maxwell equation, in the light-cone gauge, is shown to be expressible as

$$i \frac{\partial A^J}{\partial \tau} = \alpha' p^I p^J A^J \quad A^J = A^J(\tau, p^+, \vec{p}_T). \quad \text{Zwiebach (12.194) [270].} \quad (13)$$

#### 3.5 Bottom Line: Light-Cone Gauge

The equivalence of (9) and (13) tells us that the string oscillation modes, for massless strings, can be considered equivalent to photons.

#### 4 String Theory in More Traditional Form and Maxwell Equation

The equation of motion of a relativistic string is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{X}}{\partial \sigma^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{X}}{\partial t^2} = 0, \quad (7.18) [135] \text{ and } (7.26) [136]$$

where

$$d\sigma = \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - v_T^2 / c^2}} = \frac{1}{T_0} dE \quad \left( = \frac{1}{T_0} \frac{T_0 ds}{\sqrt{1 - v_T^2 / c^2}} \text{ since } dE = \frac{T_0 ds}{\sqrt{1 - v_T^2 / c^2}} \right). \quad (7.19)[135]$$

Strictly speaking,  $ds$  varies in time and does not equal distance along the non-oscillating string. However, for small oscillations it is effectively that, i.e.,  $s$  is effectively the distance along the non-oscillating string.

(7.18)/(7.26) means the speed of the wave along the string *relative to*  $\sigma$ , is  $c$ . But,  $\sigma$  is not a measure of distance in space. Relative to the actual distance in space ( $= s$  for small oscillations), the wave is *not* traveling at speed  $c$ , but something less.

More specifically, (7.18)/(7.26) with (the LHS of (7.19) becomes

$$\left( 1 - \frac{v_T^2}{c^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{X}}{\partial s^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{X}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad v = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_T^2}{c^2}}. \quad (14)$$

This same result can be obtained by using the effective tension and mass density of (7.15) [134].

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{T_{eff}}{\mu_{eff}}} = \sqrt{\frac{T_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_T^2}{c^2}}}{T_0 / c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_T^2}{c^2}}}} = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{v_T^2}{c^2}} \quad (15)$$

Maxwell's equation for a wave propagating along the  $x$  axis, where  $x$  measure actual distance in space, is

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu A^\alpha = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^2 \bar{A}}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{A}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad (16)$$

which is different from (14).

**Bottom line:** The relativistic string equation (14) is NOT the same as Maxwell's equation. So, it doesn't seem that it can be quantized and taken as a Maxwell field.

I don't know how to resolve this issue and welcome any insights by readers. (Click on feedback link on home page of website under title above.)