

Chapter 3 Problem 12 Correction

Problem 3-12. Substitute the free field solutions (3-36) to the Klein-Gordon equation into the probability density operator relation (3-89) and then insert that into (3-90) to find the effective probability density operator expressed in terms of number operators (3-91). It will help you in doing so to note that for any term where $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}'$, the destruction and creation operators will cause the ket to be different from (orthogonal to) the bra, so the resulting term in the expectation value $\bar{\rho}$ will be zero. Hence, those terms can be ignored in determining an effective ρ .

Note that the result you get is restricted to situations where all particles (in the ket) are in \mathbf{k} eigenstates, which is almost invariably the case in QFT problems and applications. With particles in general (non \mathbf{k} eigen) states, ρ becomes more complicated.

Ans. The probability density operator (3-89) is

$$\rho = j^0 = i \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \phi^\dagger - \frac{\partial \phi^\dagger}{\partial t} \phi \right). \quad (3-89)$$

Substituting (3-36) into (3-89), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = i & \left\{ \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} (a(\mathbf{k})e^{-ikx} - b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{ikx}) \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}}} (b(\mathbf{k}')e^{-ik'x} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')e^{ik'x}) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \frac{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}}} (b(\mathbf{k}')e^{-ik'x} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')e^{ik'x}) \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}} (a(\mathbf{k})e^{-ikx} + b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{ikx}) \right) \right\}. \\ = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} & \frac{1}{2V\sqrt{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}}} \left\{ \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}') e^{-ikx} e^{-ik'x} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}') e^{-ikx} e^{ik'x} \right. \\ & - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}') e^{ikx} e^{-ik'x} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}') e^{ikx} e^{ik'x} \\ & - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} b(\mathbf{k}') a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik'x} e^{-ikx} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} b(\mathbf{k}') b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik'x} e^{ikx} \\ & \left. + \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}') a(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik'x} e^{-ikx} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}') b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik'x} e^{ikx} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (A)$$

In (A) we have a whole lot of complicated terms. But if we use (A) to find the expectation value

$$\bar{\rho} = \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots | \rho | \phi_1 \phi_2 \dots \rangle, \quad (B)$$

which reflects what we measure, then all terms in (A) for which $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}'$ will drop out in (B), because the resulting ket will not match (will not be orthogonal to) the bra. Thus, we can consider an *effective* probability density (“effective” because it will provide us with what we measure although strictly speaking, it is not equal to (A)) of

effective $\rho =$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} & \left\{ \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} e^{-ikx} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{a(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})}_{a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k})+1} e^{-ikx} e^{ikx} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) e^{ikx} e^{-ikx} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ikx} e^{ikx} \right. \\ & \left. - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} b(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ikx} e^{-ikx} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \underbrace{b(\mathbf{k}) b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})}_{b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b(\mathbf{k})+1} e^{-ikx} e^{ikx} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) e^{ikx} e^{-ikx} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ikx} e^{ikx} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (C)$$

The only terms in (C) that will survive in (B) are those having factors of a and a^\dagger , or b and b^\dagger , and for them, the exponential factors cancel. The result is an *effective* (streamlined) probability density operator of form

$$\rho = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}{V\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \left\{ a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) e^0 - b^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) e^0 \right\} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{V} \{ N_a(\mathbf{k}) - N_b(\mathbf{k}) \}.$$