

You will get used to the complication in time. When you do, you should gain an appreciation for the beauty, as well. In the words of the equation's discoverer,

*“The research worker, in his efforts to express the fundamental laws of Nature in mathematical form, should strive mainly for mathematical beauty. He should take simplicity into consideration in a subordinate way to beauty ... It often happens that the requirements of simplicity and beauty are the same, but where they clash, the latter must take precedence. “*

— Paul A. M. Dirac

You should do Prob. 4 to provide some practice with (4-14), and then note that the common way to write the Dirac equation is to hide the spinor space indices in  $\kappa$  and  $\eta$ , i.e.,

*Common, short hand form of Dirac equation*

$$\boxed{(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)|\psi\rangle = 0}, \tag{4-15}$$

where you have to be vigilant to remember the implicit 4X4 spinor space matrix/column nature of (4-15) as expressed explicitly in (4-14).

Another notation commonly used, which is the most streamlined of all, is

*Slash notation also very common in Dirac equation*

$$\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \quad \text{so, the Dirac equation} \rightarrow (i\not{\partial} - m)|\psi\rangle = 0. \tag{4-16}$$

We note in passing that

$$m \rightarrow \frac{mc}{\hbar} \quad \text{in non-natural units in the Dirac equation.} \tag{4-17}$$

### 4.1.5 Solutions to the Dirac Equation

We can write out (4-15) fully as

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu |\psi\rangle = i(\gamma^0 \partial_0 + \gamma^1 \partial_1 + \gamma^2 \partial_2 + \gamma^3 \partial_3)|\psi\rangle = m|\psi\rangle = \tag{4-18}$$

$$i \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \partial_0 + \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ -1 & & & \end{bmatrix} \partial_1 + \begin{bmatrix} & & -i & \\ & i & & \\ & & & \\ -i & & & \end{bmatrix} \partial_2 + \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ -1 & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \partial_3 \right) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4-19}$$

$$= i \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 & 0 & \partial_3 & \partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ 0 & \partial_0 & \partial_1 + i\partial_2 & -\partial_3 \\ -\partial_3 & -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 & -\partial_0 & 0 \\ -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 & \partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Writing out Dirac equation*

Note that the numeric subscripts on the  $\partial$  symbols refer to derivatives with respect to time and space, whereas the numeric subscripts on the components of  $|\psi\rangle$  refer to the respective components of the ket in spinor space.

(4-19) is a 4X4 matrix problem, for which we can try solutions of form  $|\psi\rangle = |u_\alpha e^{\pm kx}\rangle$ , where  $u_\alpha$  is a four component spinor space column matrix. Doing this and carrying out the derivatives in (4-19), we end up with an 4X4 eigenvalue problem. This has four solutions  $|\psi^{(n)}\rangle$ , where  $n = 1,2,3,4$ , with each such solution having four spinor space components. We will not go through the tedium of doing this. Rather, I will simply provide the solutions, and you will do Prob. 5 to prove to yourself, by substitution, that they are indeed valid solutions to (4-19).

The Dirac equation solutions in the Dirac-Pauli (standard) representation are